

Shocks and Solitons in the Periodic Nonlinear Maxwell Equations

Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University

with

Gideon Simpson - University of Minnesota
Michael I. Weinstein - Columbia University

Shocks and Spatial Periodicity

Spatially Homogeneous System of Conservation Laws

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \partial_x \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$$

Smooth data generates a shock in finite time (Lax 64)

Periodically Varying System of Conservation Laws

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \partial_x \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{v}) &= 0 \\ \mathbf{f}(x + 2\pi, \mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{v})\end{aligned}$$

Can spatial periodicity stabilize shock formation?

Regularizing Shocks

- Diffusive regularization:

$$v_t + vv_x = \mu v_{xx}$$

- Dispersive regularization:


$$v_t + vv_x + \alpha v_{xxx} = 0$$

- Dispersion from Spatial Periodicity (Maxwell Model):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 (n^2(z)E + \chi E^3) &= \partial_z^2 E, \\ n^2(z + 2\pi) &= n^2(z). \end{aligned}$$

- Does this model display wave breaking (shocks)?
- Does this model admit stable localized states (solitons)?


Maxwell & Coupled Mode Equations



Periodic Nonlinear Maxwell Equation


$$\partial_t^2 (n^2(z)E + \chi E^3) = \partial_z^2 E$$

where



$$n^2(z) = 1 + \epsilon \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} N_p e^{ipz}, \quad \epsilon \ll 1.$$


Maxwell & Coupled Mode Equations



Periodic Nonlinear Maxwell Equation

$$\partial_t^2 (n^2(z)E + \chi E^3) = \partial_z^2 E$$

where



$$n^2(z) = 1 + \epsilon \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} N_p e^{ipz}, \quad \epsilon \ll 1.$$

Two-wave approximation of small-amplitude resonant waves

$$E \approx \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\mathcal{E}^+(\epsilon z, \epsilon t) e^{i(z-t)} + \mathcal{E}^-(\epsilon z, \epsilon t) e^{-i(z+t)} \right)$$

yields the Nonlinear Coupled Mode Equations (NLCME) for $\mathcal{E}^\pm(Z, T)$ in slow variables $Z = \epsilon z$ and $T = \epsilon t$.

Properties of the NLCME

The Nonlinear Coupled Mode Equations (NLCME)

$$\partial_T \mathcal{E}^+ + \partial_Z \mathcal{E}^+ = iN_2 \mathcal{E}^- + i\Gamma \left(|\mathcal{E}^+|^2 + 2|\mathcal{E}^-|^2 \right) \mathcal{E}^+,$$

$$\partial_T \mathcal{E}^- - \partial_Z \mathcal{E}^- = i\bar{N}_2 \mathcal{E}^+ + i\Gamma \left(|\mathcal{E}^-|^2 + 2|\mathcal{E}^+|^2 \right) \mathcal{E}^-$$

- Dispersive, $\Omega^2 = K^2 + |N_2|^2$,
- Possess explicit solitary wave solutions (Aceves–Wabnitz 89),
- Globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Goodman *et al.* 01), but

Properties of the NLCME

The Nonlinear Coupled Mode Equations (NLCME)

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_T \mathcal{E}^+ + \partial_Z \mathcal{E}^+ &= iN_2 \mathcal{E}^- + i\Gamma \left(|\mathcal{E}^+|^2 + 2|\mathcal{E}^-|^2 \right) \mathcal{E}^+, \\ \partial_T \mathcal{E}^- - \partial_Z \mathcal{E}^- &= i\bar{N}_2 \mathcal{E}^+ + i\Gamma \left(|\mathcal{E}^-|^2 + 2|\mathcal{E}^+|^2 \right) \mathcal{E}^-\end{aligned}$$

- Dispersive, $\Omega^2 = K^2 + |N_2|^2$,
- Possess explicit solitary wave solutions (Aceves–Wabnitz 89),
- Globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (Goodman *et al.* 01), but
- Mathematically inconsistent, because the correction term $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$,

$$(\partial_t^2 - \partial_z^2) \tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (\mathcal{E}^+)^3 e^{3i(z-t)} + (\mathcal{E}^-)^3 e^{-3i(z+t)} + \dots,$$

grow secularly in t .

NLCME Soliton Data and Numerics

Seed NLCME Soliton ($\mathcal{E}^+, \mathcal{E}^-$) into Maxwell equations,

$$E(z, t) = \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\mathcal{E}^+(\epsilon z, \epsilon t) e^{i(z-t)} + \mathcal{E}^-(\epsilon z, \epsilon t) e^{-i(z+t)} \right).$$

- No periodic potential:

$$\partial_t^2 (E + \chi E^3) = \partial_z^2 E$$

- Small cos-periodic potential:

$$\partial_t^2 (E + \epsilon \cos(z) E + \chi E^3) = \partial_z^2 E$$

Side pulses are absent in the NLCME.

Revised Asymptotic Expansion

Hunter–Keller 83, Majda–Rosales 84, ...

Generalized Ansatz

$$E = \epsilon^{1/2} \left(E^{(0)}(z, t, Z, T) + \epsilon E^{(1)}(z, t, Z, T) + \dots \right).$$

Leading Order

$$E^{(0)} = E^+(z - t, Z, T) + E^-(z + t, Z, T)$$

Constraint on the Sublinear Growth of the Correction Term

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \|E^{(1)}\| (t) dt = 0.$$

Integro-Differential equations for $E^\pm(\phi, Z, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T E^+ + \partial_Z E^+ &= \partial_\phi \langle N(\phi + s) E^-(\phi + 2s) \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \Gamma \partial_\phi \left[\frac{1}{3} (E^+)^3 + E^+ \langle (E^-)^2 \rangle_s \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T E^- - \partial_Z E^- &= -\partial_\phi \langle N(\phi - s) E^+(\phi - 2s) \rangle_s \\ &\quad - \Gamma \partial_\phi \left[\langle (E^+)^2 \rangle_s E^- + \frac{1}{3} (E^-)^3 \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle f \rangle_s = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(s) ds.$$

Extended Nonlinear Coupled Mode Equations (xNLCMEs)

Periodically Varying Index of Refraction

$$N(z) = N(z + 2\pi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad N(z) = \sum N_p e^{ipz}, \quad N_0 = 0$$

Harmonic Decomposition

$$E^\pm(\phi, Z, T) = \sum E_p^\pm(Z, T) e^{ip\phi}.$$

Extended Nonlinear Coupled Mode Equations (xNLCMEs)

Periodically Varying Index of Refraction

$$N(z) = N(z + 2\pi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad N(z) = \sum N_p e^{ipz}, \quad N_0 = 0$$

Harmonic Decomposition

$$E^\pm(\phi, Z, T) = \sum E_p^\pm(Z, T) e^{ip\phi}.$$

xNLCMEs

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T E_p^+ + \partial_Z E_p^+ &= ipN_{2p} E_p^- + \frac{ip}{3} \left[\sum E_q^+ E_r^+ E_{p-q-r}^+ + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^-|^2 \right) E_p^+ \right] \\ \partial_T E_p^- - \partial_Z E_p^- &= ip\bar{N}_{2p} E_p^+ + \frac{ip}{3} \left[\sum E_q^- E_r^- E_{p-q-r}^- + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^+|^2 \right) E_p^- \right] \end{aligned}$$

Inclusion of third harmonic ($E_{\pm 3}^{\pm}$), resolves side pulses

Questions:

- Do the xNLCMEs admit localized stationary states (solitons)?
- If they do, are localized states robust in the time-dependent dynamics of the xNLCMEs?

Simplifications:

- 1 We reduce the system of xNLCMEs near band edges to a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations.
- 2 We use the Gaussian trial functions and variational approximations.
- 3 We truncate the system of equations and perform parameter continuations.

Band Edge Approximation

Localized stationary states

$$E_p^\pm(Z, T) = A_p^\pm(Z) e^{-ip\Omega T}, \quad A_p^\pm(Z) \sim e^{-|p||Z|\sqrt{|N_{2p}|^2 - \Omega^2}}.$$


Assume $N_{2p} = 1$ for all p and $\Omega \in (-1, 1)$.

Localized states near a band edge

$$A_p^\pm(Z) = \pm \mu U_p(\mu Z) + O(\mu^2)$$

$$\Omega = \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}, \quad 0 < \mu \ll 1.$$

This expansion allows us to derive coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations.



Justification of the coupled NLS equations

Coupled Stationary Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

$$U_p''(\zeta) - p^2 U_p + \frac{2}{3} p^2 \left(3U_p \sum |U_q|^2 + \sum U_q U_r U_{p-q-r} \right) = 0.$$

$$U(\theta, \zeta) = \sum U_p(\zeta) e^{ip\theta}$$

Theorem

Assume the existence of a localized state $U \in X^s$ of the NLS equations,

$$X^s \equiv \{ U(\zeta, \phi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) : \bar{U}(\zeta, \phi) = U(\zeta, \phi), \}, \quad s > 1,$$

satisfying the symmetry $U_p(\zeta) = \bar{U}_p(-\zeta)$. There exists $\mu_0 > 0$ such that for any $|\mu| < \mu_0$, the xNLCMEs with $\Omega = \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}$ admit a unique localized state $A^\pm \in X^s$ satisfying the bound

$$\exists C > 0 : \quad \|A^\pm \mp \mu U(\mu \cdot, \cdot)\|_{X^s} \leq C\mu^2.$$

Existence of localized stationary states

Coupled NLS equations

$$U_p''(\zeta) - p^2 U_p + \frac{2}{3} p^2 \left(3 U_p \sum |U_q|^2 + \sum U_q U_r U_{p-q-r} \right) = 0$$

Energy

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum \left(\frac{1}{p^2} |U_p'|^2 + |U_p|^2 \right) - \left(\sum |U_p|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \sum \bar{U}_p U_q U_r \bar{U}_{q+r-p} d\zeta.$$

Existence of localized stationary states

Coupled NLS equations

$$U_p''(\zeta) - p^2 U_p + \frac{2}{3} p^2 \left(3 U_p \sum |U_q|^2 + \sum U_q U_r U_{p-q-r} \right) = 0$$

Energy

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum \left(\frac{1}{p^2} |U_p'|^2 + |U_p|^2 \right) - \left(\sum |U_p|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \sum \bar{U}_p U_q U_r \bar{U}_{q+r-p} d\zeta.$$

Constrained variational problem

$$\text{minimize } H \text{ subject to fixed } N = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum |U_p|^2 d\zeta.$$

However, H is unbounded from below, even under the constraint.

Rayleigh–Ritz Approximation

Gaussian Ansatz

$$U_p(\zeta) = a_p e^{-b_p \zeta^2}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}},$$

Reduced Energy

$$H_G = \sum \frac{\sqrt{b_p} a_p^2}{p^2} + \frac{a_p^2}{\sqrt{b_p}} - \frac{a_p^2 a_q^2}{\sqrt{b_p + b_q}} - \frac{\sqrt{2} a_p a_q a_r a_{p-q-r}}{3\sqrt{b_p + b_q + b_r + b_{p-q-r}}}.$$

Euler–Lagrange Equations

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} H_G(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 0, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{b}} H_G(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 0.$$

Rayleigh–Ritz Approximation, Results

Truncated Solutions of Euler–Lagrange Equations:

No. of Modes	a_1	b_1	a_3	b_3	a_5	b_5
1	0.56060	0.33333	-	-	-	-
2	0.56321	0.33148	-0.13918	3.9413	-	-
3	0.56329	0.33189	-0.14585	3.6287	0.062822	8.5577

Questions:

- Does the solution converge to a localized state with finite N or H ?
- Is the alternating sign between the modes important?
- Does the alternating sign persist with the number of modes?

Reduced Rayleigh–Ritz Approximation

Simplified Gaussian Ansatz

$$U_p(\zeta) = a_p e^{-b_p \zeta^2}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}},$$

with

$$a_p = A(-1)^{(|p|-1)/2} |p|^{-\gamma}, \quad b_p = \frac{p^2}{3}$$


Two Parameter Energy

$$H_G \equiv h_G(\gamma, A) = A^2 f(\gamma) - A^4 g(\gamma)$$

At a critical point, this expression simplifies to

$$h_G(\gamma, A(\gamma)) = \frac{f^2(\gamma)}{4g(\gamma)}$$

Reduced Rayleigh–Ritz Approximation, Results



$$\sum \|U_p\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \sum p^{-1-2\gamma}$$


$$\gamma_* \sim 1.26$$

$$U \in X^s, \quad 1 < s < 1.26$$

Ansatz without Alternating Signs

$$U_p(\zeta) = A |p|^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{p^2}{3}\zeta^2}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}},$$

No Extrema





Direct Numerical Solution of Truncated NLS System

NLS System

$$U_p''(\zeta) - p^2 U_p + \frac{2}{3} p^2 \left(3 U_p \sum |U_q|^2 + \sum U_q U_r U_{p-q-r} \right) = 0$$

$$U(\theta, \zeta) = \sum U_p(\zeta) e^{ip\theta}$$



Alternating Signs & # of Nodes, $|p| \leq 12$



Persistence of Coupled NLS Solitons in xNLCMEs

Resolves odd $|p| \leq 8$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T E_p^+ + \partial_Z E_p^+ &= i p N_{2p} E_p^- + \frac{i p}{3} \left[\sum E_q^+ E_r^+ E_{p-q-r}^+ + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^-|^2 \right) E_p^+ \right] \\ \partial_T E_p^- - \partial_Z E_p^- &= i p \bar{N}_{2p} E_p^+ + \frac{i p}{3} \left[\sum E_q^- E_r^- E_{p-q-r}^- + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^+|^2 \right) E_p^- \right]. \\ E_p^\pm(Z, 0) &= \pm \mu U_p(\mu Z), p = 1 \end{aligned}$$




Persistence of Coupled NLS Solitons in xNLCMEs

Resolves odd $|p| \leq 8$

$$\partial_T E_p^+ + \partial_Z E_p^+ = ipN_{2p}E_p^- + \frac{ip}{3} \left[\sum E_q^+ E_r^+ E_{p-q-r}^+ + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^-|^2 \right) E_p^+ \right]$$

$$\partial_T E_p^- - \partial_Z E_p^- = ip\bar{N}_{2p}E_p^+ + \frac{ip}{3} \left[\sum E_q^- E_r^- E_{p-q-r}^- + 3 \left(\sum |E_q^+|^2 \right) E_p^- \right].$$

$$E_p^\pm(Z, 0) = \pm\mu U_p(\mu Z), \quad p = 3$$



Open question

Prove the existence of localized solutions in the nonlocal nonlinear elliptic problem:

$$(\partial_{\zeta}^2 + \partial_{\theta}^2)U = \frac{2}{3}\partial_{\theta}^2 \left[U^3 + 3 \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int |U|^2 d\theta \right) U \right].$$

where

$$U(\theta, \zeta) = \sum U_p(\zeta) e^{ip\theta}, \quad U : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Summary:

Our results suggest that the localized states are robust for the nonlinear periodic Maxwell model. Existence of such states do not eliminate a possibility of shocks for large amplitudes.

References

- G. Simpson and M.I. Weinstein, “Coherent structures and carrier shocks in the nonlinear Maxwell equations”, *Multiscale Model Simul.* **9** (2011), 955–990.
- D.E. Pelinovsky, G. Simpson, and M.I. Weinstein, “Polychromatic solitary waves in a periodic and nonlinear Maxwell system”, *SIAM J. Appl. Dynam. Syst.* (2012), accepted.